IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI GREENVILLE DIVISION

ROBERT LEE WILLIAMS

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 4:02CV119-P-A

LARRY LUCAS, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the court's May 12, 2005, final judgment denying the plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order. The court interprets the instant motion, using the liberal standard for *pro se* litigants set forth in *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), as a motion for relief from a judgment or order under FED. R. CIV. P. 60. An order granting relief under Rule 60 must be based upon: (1) clerical mistakes, (2) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, (3) newly discovered evidence, (4) fraud or other misconduct of an adverse party, (5) a void judgment, or (6) any other reason justifying relief from the operation of the order. The court finds the plaintiff has neither asserted nor proven any of the specific justifications for relief from an order permitted under Rule 60; the court finds further that the plaintiff has not presented "any other reason justifying relief from the operation" of the judgment. As such, the plaintiff's request for reconsideration is **DENIED**

SO ORDERED, this the 22nd day of September, 2005.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr.

W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE